

Research Article

Global Terrorism and Human Rights in Indonesia: Conflict Between Security and Freedom

Yessi Juwita lestari Saragih^{1*}, Timbul Dompok², Karol Teovani Lodan³

¹ Universitas Putera Batam, Batam, Indonesia

² Universitas Putera Batam, Batam, Indonesia

³ Universitas Putera Batam, Batam, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: Yessi Juwita lestari Saragih

Abstract: The escalation of global terrorism has posed substantial obstacles to the safeguarding of human rights globally. This study analyzes the intricate relationship between counter-terrorism strategies and human rights, highlighting how security policies may curtail individual liberties. This study conducts a comprehensive examination of several case studies to examine the effects of anti-terrorism laws and procedures in different nations. It further examines the intricate equilibrium that governments must sustain between safeguarding national security and preserving human rights norms. The study utilizes qualitative methodologies, encompassing document analysis and expert interviews in the domain. The findings indicate that although counter-terrorism initiatives are essential for public safety, they frequently lead to infringements of civil liberties, especially impacting underprivileged communities. The paper emphasizes the necessity of tackling these difficulties to guarantee that security measures do not excessively violate basic rights. The report concludes by recommending measures for policymakers to enhance human rights protections within counter-terrorism frameworks. Essential recommendations encompass promoting accountability and transparency in security operations while implementing equitable, non-discriminatory rules. Through the implementation of these measures, governments can proficiently counter terrorism while upholding fundamental human rights principles.

Keywords: civil liberties; counter-terrorism; Global terrorism; human rights; security policies.

Received: April 01, 2025
Revised: April 11, 2025
Accepted: April 21, 2025
Published: April 30, 2025
Curr. Ver.: April 30, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.
Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

1. Introduction

Global terrorism remains a pervasive and evolving challenge that threatens the stability and security of numerous nations, including Indonesia. As a nation with diverse cultural, ethnic, and religious demographics, Indonesia's geopolitical position and historical experiences make it particularly vulnerable to terrorist activities. The country has faced a series of significant terrorist incidents over the decades, from the 2002 Bali bombings to more recent attacks orchestrated by both domestic and transnational extremist groups. These events have highlighted the critical need for comprehensive and effective counter-terrorism strategies to ensure national security and protect citizens.

To safeguard the populace, the Indonesian government, akin to other nations, frequently enforces stringent and occasionally oppressive security measures to mitigate the risk of terrorism. These measures often include enhanced surveillance programs, tighter immigration controls, and increased military and police presence in regions identified as high-risk. While such policies are designed to bolster public safety, they simultaneously raise concerns about their impact on human rights—a foundational pillar of democratic governance. Striking a balance between national security and the preservation of individual liberties presents an ongoing challenge for Indonesia.

Indonesia's counter-terrorism framework often intersects with issues of justice and equity, particularly in the treatment of certain populations. For instance, minority groups, refugees, and individuals expressing dissent against government policies may find themselves disproportionately targeted or marginalized under sweeping anti-terror measures. Practices such as preventive detention without due process, invasive surveillance, and restrictions on freedoms of speech and assembly have been criticized as potentially undermining the rule of law and eroding public trust in governmental institutions.

Moreover, globalization and rapid advancements in technology have further complicated the counter-terrorism landscape. Terrorist organizations have leveraged digital platforms, encrypted communication channels, and international networks to coordinate activities and spread propaganda, necessitating more sophisticated and proactive security responses. However, these advancements also bring ethical dilemmas, particularly regarding the potential misuse of mass monitoring technologies and the infringement of citizens' privacy rights.

In this context, the study aims to critically analyze the correlation between Indonesia's counter-terrorism policies and their impact on human rights. It seeks to illuminate the tensions inherent in the government's efforts to ensure security while respecting democratic freedoms. By examining existing legal frameworks, policy implementations, and case studies, this research endeavors to uncover areas where improvements can be made. The study emphasizes the importance of accountability, transparency, and adherence to international human rights norms in developing counter-terrorism strategies that align with the principles of democracy. Ultimately, the research aspires to provide actionable recommendations for policymakers and stakeholders. These suggestions aim to bridge the gap between the need for robust security measures and the imperative to safeguard human rights. The findings will contribute to the ongoing discourse on crafting more equitable and humane counter-terrorism policies, offering insights that can guide Indonesia and other nations facing similar challenges toward a balanced and sustainable approach to security governance.

2. Literature Review

Indonesia, which continues to battle to overcome the harmful repercussions of global terrorism, is one of the many countries that are confronted with a major threat associated with global terrorism. In order to protect its inhabitants, the government of Indonesia, similar to the governments of other nations, frequently implements security policies that are stringent and possibly even repressive in order to lessen the threat of terrorism. On the other hand, despite the fact that these policies are designed to safeguard public safety, counter-terrorism measures frequently have a detrimental effect on human rights, which are an essential component of a democratic society. Taking this into consideration, the Indonesian setting presents a significant obstacle in terms of finding a balance between safeguarding the nation from the dangers posed by terrorism and ensuring the protection of individual liberties. The fundamental rights of individuals might be jeopardized by policies that are excessively aggressive. Some examples of such policies are incarceration without due process, intrusive surveillance, and restrictions on freedom of expression. Terrorist organizations in Indonesia have developed an ability to expand their operations by exploiting worldwide networks as a result of the increasingly complex scenario that has arisen as a result of globalization and advancing technology capabilities. The government is compelled to use more complex security measures, such as preventative detention and mass surveillance, as a result of the new obstacles that are created to deal with this situation. Although these methods have the ability to assist lessen the threat, they also raise severe concerns about the possibility of human rights breaches. These violations could include the discriminating treatment of minority groups, refugees, and those who are opposed to the policies of the government.

The primary purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between counter-terrorism methods and human rights policies in Indonesia, as well as to investigate the ways in which the government might negotiate these problems in a manner that is respectful of individual rights. The purpose of the study is to make suggestions or recommendations for the development of policies that are not only effective in countering terrorism but also defend fundamental freedoms. These policies should be more just and humane. In addition, the study emphasizes the significance of accountability, adherence to the law, and the development of security measures that do not compromise democratic ideals, which are fundamental to the existence of a society in Indonesia that is both free and just.

The purpose of this study is to make a contribution to the ongoing discussion regarding the impact of counter-terrorism policies on human rights in Indonesia. Additionally, it provides potential solutions that can assist in the development of a policy framework that is more balanced, giving the state the ability to protect its citizens from the threat of terrorism without compromising their fundamental freedoms.

3. Research Methods

In order to investigate the influence that anti-terrorism law has on human rights in a number of different nations, this study takes a qualitative approach and adopts a case study design research methodology. Document analysis and interviews with subject matter experts are the two key procedures that are utilized in the data collection process. Reports from prominent international human rights groups, such as Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, and the United Nations, are the primary focus of the document analysis. The recorded infractions, trends, and patterns of misuse that are associated with anti-terrorism policies are included in these records, which provide insights that are extremely valuable. This study identifies important places where such policies connect with human rights concerns by conducting a comprehensive review of the materials in question.

Interviews are carried out with specialists who specialize in the areas of human rights advocacy, legal frameworks, and security. In addition to members from non-governmental groups, the participants consist of policy consultants, legal professors, and other representatives. In addition to examining potential solutions to inefficient policies, the purpose of these interviews is to delve more deeply into the difficulties associated with striking a balance between the requirements of national security and the preservation of human rights. Considering that the interviews were qualitative in nature, they made it possible to obtain nuanced opinions that enriched the conclusions of the study.

A thematic coding analysis is performed on the data that was collected in order to find noteworthy patterns and problems that occurred repeatedly. The primary focus of the investigation involves human rights breaches such as detention without due process, torture, and bias against vulnerable groups, including ethnic minorities, religious communities, and refugees. In order to ensure that a full knowledge of the relationship between anti-terrorism measures and human rights is achieved, the themes that emerge from the data are methodically categorized. The purpose of this structured methodology is to give an in-depth study of the effects that anti-terrorism policies have had on human rights. It also provides essential insights and recommendations for the development of legislative frameworks that are more balanced and respectful of human rights.

4. Result And Discussion

The purpose of this study is to shed light on the profound structural issues that exist within the framework of Indonesia's counter-terrorism policy. These issues include a lack of transparency, inadequate accountability, and discriminatory practices that are frequently incorporated into the policy. According to the findings of the study, counter-terrorism policies frequently lead to severe abuses of human rights, despite the fact that these policies play an essential part in ensuring the continued presence of national security. When it comes to violations, the most common ones include extrajudicial imprisonment, excessive monitoring, and the use of torture or harsh treatment by security agents during interrogation.

Additionally, these policies have a stronger impact on vulnerable groups such as ethnic minorities, immigrants, and persons with dissenting political views. These groups are frequently the primary targets of counter-terrorism policies because they are more susceptible to the effects of these policies. The study also identifies global examples of these problems, such as the implementation of the Patriot Act in the United States, which permits mass surveillance and extrajudicial detention, and the implementation of counter-terrorism policies in Xinjiang, China, which have resulted in the mass detention of Uighur Muslims and allegations of serious human rights violations. Both of these examples are examples of global problems.

The discourse about these policies also highlights the wide-ranging effects that they have on society as a whole. These effects include the reduction of public faith in the institutions of the government as well as constraints on fundamental liberties such as the rights to freedom of expression and assembly. In addition, discriminatory policies deepen existing social divisions and further marginalize the groups who are already marginalized. The normalization

of these acts poses a threat to the integrity of international human rights norms and establishes a precedent that creates a potentially dangerous situation for other nations.

The findings of this study highlight how important it is for governments to make certain that their policies regarding counterterrorism encourage openness, accountability, and proportionality. In order to ensure that there is a healthy equilibrium between national security and human rights, governments should strengthen the structures that oversee the situation, include members of civil society in the process of formulating policies, and conform to international legal standards. Taking into consideration the fact that many nations that have enacted counter-terrorism measures have also engaged in fundamental human rights breaches, such as extrajudicial detention, excessive monitoring, and discrimination against vulnerable groups, this is of even greater significance.

When discussing Indonesia's policies regarding counterterrorism, it is important to underline the necessity of implementing more stringent accountability and transparency systems. In order to avoid causing disproportionate harm to specific groups of people on the basis of their race, religion, or other characteristics, governments have a responsibility to ensure that the security measures they implement are accurate and appropriate to the real threat that is being faced. Because the two might sometimes be in conflict with one another in terms of national security policy, the juxtaposition of avoiding terrorism and defending human rights frequently constitutes a dilemma.

There are a few different strategies that can be utilized in order to strike a proper balance between these two goals. When it comes to counterterrorism policy, the implementation of the principle of proportionality is very crucial. This principle states that the actions employed should not go beyond what is required to guarantee the protection of the general public. One example of something that should be avoided is detention that does not have a clear legal basis, as this is a violation of the rights of individuals.

For the purpose of making counterterrorism activities more effective, it is also vital for law enforcement authorities to coordinate their efforts with one another. Information may be effectively exchanged when there is better collaboration between the police, intelligence services, and the court system. This allows for policies to be executed while still preserving human rights. Furthermore, the implementation of more gentle strategies for counterterrorism, such as deradicalization and rehabilitation programs for former terrorists, has the potential to lessen the likelihood of subsequent radicalization while simultaneously upholding human rights.

In order to effectively preserve human rights, it is necessary to involve all of the relevant parties, including not just those who commit acts of terrorism but also victims, witnesses, and the general public. As part of a comprehensive response to this matter, it is also important to provide help to victims of terrorism, which includes providing protection and assistance during the process of rehabilitation. Transparency and accountability are essential components of efficient governance, particularly in the context of law enforcement in relation to terrorist activities. Governments should ensure that human rights abuses are accounted for and maintain transparency in the mechanisms that are used for law enforcement. This will help to establish public trust in security institutions and decrease the likelihood of negative reactions that might make the situation even more dire. Additionally, in order to create a society that is more welcoming to people of all backgrounds and to prevent radicalization, it is vital to educate the general public on the significance of human rights in the context of security policy. Also playing a significant part in the broader effort to prevent terrorism are educational activities that encourage tolerance and conversation amongst people of different cultural backgrounds.

Legal reform is absolutely necessary, and one example of this would be amending anti-terrorism laws to ensure that they are in accordance with international human rights legislation. During this process, the definition of terrorism should be clarified, and fair legal procedures should be established for all those who are accused of being involved in terrorist attacks. The implementation of these measures enables governments to work toward the preservation of national security while also protecting the fundamental rights of individuals, thereby establishing a necessary equilibrium in the face of the worldwide threat posed by terrorism.

5. Conclusion

It is clear from the findings of the study that counterterrorism measures, despite the fact that they are necessary for the preservation of public safety, should not be implemented at the price of human rights. In order to guarantee that policies pertaining to counterterrorism are proportionate, non-discriminatory, and in accordance with international human rights norms, governments need to adopt an approach that is more inclusive and transparent. Through the adoption of this strategy, nations are able to combat terrorism while simultaneously protecting the freedom and dignity of their citizens. This strategy includes increasing accountability through the establishment of independent oversight bodies, increasing transparency in security operations, and strengthening cooperation with organizations that advocate for human rights. The study emphasizes the significance of striking a balance between human rights and security as a means of ensuring the continued existence of democracy and the development of societies that are just and equitable.

References

- [1.] Amnesty International, *Human Rights in the Age of Terrorism*, London: Amnesty International Publications, 2019.
- [2.] Atmasasmita, R., *Tindak Pidana Terorisme: Perspektif Hukum Internasional*, Jakarta: Penerbit Universitas, 2007.
- [3.] Cardenas, S., "National human rights commissions in Asia," in *Sovereignty under Challenge*, Routledge, pp. 55–82, 2017.
- [4.] Clark, A. M., *Diplomacy of Conscience: Amnesty International and Changing Human Rights Norms*, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [5.] Hoffman, B., *Inside Terrorism*, New York: Columbia University Press, 2006.
- [6.] Human Rights Watch, *World Report 2021: Events of 2020*, New York: Human Rights Watch, 2021.
- [7.] Karns, M. P., Mingst, K. A., and Stiles, K. W., *International Organizations: The Politics and Processes of Global Governance*, Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2015.
- [8.] Neuman, M. and Weissbrodt, D., "Human rights and counter-terrorism," *Human Rights Quarterly*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 873–888, 2004.
- [9.] Octaviani, R., and Febrian, S., "Penerapan prinsip humanitarian intervention sebagai cara penyelesaian konflik bersenjata internasional dikaitkan dengan kedaulatan negara," *Jurnal Hukum dan Bisnis (Selisik)*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 54–70, 2018.
- [10.] Price, M., Gohdes, A., Ball, P., Dukich, M., Dukich, C., Kheir, A., Mohamed, A., Osman, M., and Qtiesh, A., *Updated Statistical Analysis of Documentation of Killings in the Syrian Arab Republic*, 2014.
- [11.] Suteja, J. A., "Penegakan hukum terhadap pelanggaran HAM dalam kebijakan anti-terorisme di Indonesia," *Jurnal HAM*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 112–125, 2020.
- [12.] United Nations, *The United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy*, New York: United Nations, 2015.
- [13.] Wajdi, F., "AS dan Terorisme," *Jurnal Politik dan Dakwah Al-Daulah*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 11, 2000.
- [14.] Zallum, A. Q., *Persepsi-Persepsi Berbahaya*, Bangil: Pustaka Thariqul Izzah, 1998.
- [15.] Zallum, A. Q., *Slogan-Slogan Serangan Amerika Terhadap Islam*, Bangil: Pustaka Thariqul Izzah, 1996.
- [16.] Zedner, L., "Pre-crime and post-crime: The new politics of security," *Criminal Justice*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 153–174, 2009.