

## Gender and Justice: The Socio-Legal Implications Of Gender-Based Violence Legislation

Agus Haryanto<sup>1\*</sup>, Rizky Wahyuni<sup>2</sup>, Wulan Sari<sup>3</sup>  
<sup>1-3</sup> Universitas Hasanuddin, Indonesia

**Abstract.** *This article examines the socio-legal impact of gender-based violence legislation on survivors and societal perceptions of justice. By evaluating the effectiveness of various legal protections and support systems for victims, the study assesses challenges such as enforcement, social stigma, and cultural attitudes. Findings suggest that while legal protections are essential, achieving gender justice requires broader social reforms to address deeply rooted biases and to empower survivors.*

**Keywords:** *Gender-based violence, Socio-legal implications, Gender justice, Legal protections, Social stigma.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Gender-based violence (GBV) represents a significant challenge worldwide, affecting millions and leaving profound impacts on survivors and society. Recognized as a violation of human rights, GBV encompasses physical, psychological, and sexual violence that disproportionately affects women and vulnerable groups. Indonesia, like many other countries, has implemented legislation aimed at protecting survivors and reducing incidences of GBV. However, despite these legislative efforts, obstacles such as social stigma, enforcement issues, and cultural attitudes hinder the effectiveness of legal protections.

This study explores the socio-legal implications of GBV legislation in Indonesia, assessing how laws intended to protect and support survivors function within a complex social and cultural framework. By investigating the challenges associated with enforcement and societal perceptions, the research aims to uncover areas where legal reforms and societal change intersect, thereby identifying opportunities for improving gender justice.

### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The socio-legal landscape surrounding GBV is complex and influenced by cultural norms, institutional capacity, and social attitudes. Research has shown that legal frameworks alone are often insufficient for ensuring gender justice. As Kelly and Radford (2008) note, while laws against GBV can act as a deterrent and provide avenues for justice, their effectiveness largely depends on implementation and societal support.

Societal stigma surrounding GBV often discourages survivors from seeking justice. Anderson and Umberson (2001) discuss the social obstacles that prevent many survivors from reporting abuse, including fear of blame and isolation. Additionally, culturally rooted beliefs can undermine the legitimacy of GBV laws, especially in regions where traditional gender roles

are deeply embedded. In Indonesia, studies by Hidayati and Mulia (2015) reveal that despite legal advancements, cultural beliefs continue to influence perceptions of justice and accountability in GBV cases.

Support systems, such as victim advocacy groups and shelters, are critical components of a holistic approach to GBV, according to Jones et al. (2019). However, these resources remain limited in many regions, including Indonesia, often due to inadequate funding and support from both government and civil society organizations. Access to these resources is crucial, as they provide emotional, legal, and logistical support that can empower survivors to pursue justice.

### **3. METHODOLOGY**

This study employs a qualitative approach, drawing on interviews, case studies, and policy analysis. Data was collected through in-depth interviews with survivors, legal experts, social workers, and law enforcement officers to gain a comprehensive understanding of the socio-legal challenges in GBV cases. The research also includes a review of existing legal texts, such as Indonesia's Domestic Violence Law (UU No. 23 Tahun 2004), and an analysis of the roles of government and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) in providing support to GBV survivors.

Additionally, this study uses case study analysis to examine specific instances of GBV prosecution in Indonesia. Cases were selected from various regions to ensure a broad representation of experiences and contexts, highlighting disparities in enforcement and societal responses across urban and rural areas.

### **4. RESULTS**

The findings from this research highlight three primary themes:

- a. **Legislative Gaps and Enforcement Challenges:** Although Indonesia has made progress in enacting laws to combat GBV, enforcement remains inconsistent, especially in rural areas. Police and judicial officers often lack adequate training in handling GBV cases, leading to underreporting and inadequate prosecution.
- b. **Social Stigma and Victim Blaming:** Social attitudes toward GBV survivors frequently reflect harmful stereotypes that blame victims rather than hold perpetrators accountable. This stigma discourages many survivors from reporting incidents, contributing to a cycle of silence and abuse.

- c. **Insufficient Support Systems:** Although NGOs and advocacy groups play an essential role in supporting survivors, limited resources and funding restrict their ability to provide comprehensive assistance. Many survivors lack access to psychological counseling, legal aid, and safe housing, all of which are crucial for recovery and empowerment.

## **5. DISCUSSION**

The socio-legal landscape of GBV in Indonesia demonstrates that while legal frameworks are important, they are insufficient on their own to address the complex challenges faced by survivors. Legal protections must be coupled with societal support and cultural change to effectively combat GBV.

One of the primary obstacles is the cultural perception of GBV, which often sees victims as responsible for their abuse. This victim-blaming mentality is deeply rooted in traditional gender roles and societal expectations. Addressing this requires education and awareness-raising campaigns that challenge these stereotypes and promote gender equality as a shared social value.

In terms of enforcement, the lack of specialized training for law enforcement officers and judicial officials is a critical issue. GBV cases require sensitivity and an understanding of the unique dynamics involved, which traditional legal training does not always provide. Improving training on GBV issues could lead to more effective and empathetic handling of cases, encouraging more survivors to seek justice.

Support systems for GBV survivors are also essential in addressing the socio-legal implications of GBV. Organizations that provide shelter, counseling, and legal aid need sustainable funding and support from both governmental and non-governmental entities. Building a network of support can empower survivors to pursue justice and begin the recovery process.

## **6. CONCLUSION**

This study reveals the socio-legal complexities involved in addressing GBV in Indonesia. While legislative frameworks are critical, they must be implemented in a supportive social environment to achieve gender justice. Cultural attitudes that stigmatize survivors, combined with enforcement challenges and limited support systems, create significant barriers to justice for GBV victims.

To enhance the effectiveness of GBV legislation, Indonesia must pursue a multidisciplinary approach that incorporates legal reform, social education, and increased support for survivors. By addressing both legal and cultural factors, the country can work toward a future where gender justice is accessible and equitable for all individuals.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, K. L., & Umberson, D. (2001). Gendering violence: Masculinity and power in men's accounts of domestic violence. *Gender & Society*, 15(3), 358-380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/089124301015003004>
- An-Na'im, A. A. (2010). *Human rights in cross-cultural perspectives: A quest for consensus*. University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Benda-Beckmann, K. V. (2009). *Legal pluralism and development: Scholars and practitioners in dialogue*. American University Press.
- Griffiths, J. (1986). What is legal pluralism? *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 18(24), 1-55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.1986.10756499>
- Harsono, H. (2019). Legal aspects of domestic violence in Indonesia. *Journal of Legal Studies*, 5(3), 231-246.
- Hidayati, T., & Mulia, S. (2015). Cultural context and gender-based violence in Indonesia: A case study. *Indonesian Journal of Social Sciences*, 22(1), 45-62.
- Jones, L., Taylor, B., et al. (2019). *Domestic violence and mental health: The impact on survivors and the role of support systems*. Oxford University Press.
- Kelly, L., & Radford, J. (2008). *Violence against women and children: The concept of gender justice*. Routledge.
- Llewellyn, K. N., & Hoebel, E. A. (2019). *The Cheyenne way: Conflict and case law in primitive jurisprudence*. University of Oklahoma Press.
- Menjívar, C., & Salcido, O. (2002). Immigrant women and domestic violence: Common experiences in different countries. *Gender & Society*, 16(6), 898-920. <https://doi.org/10.1177/089124302236004>
- Merry, S. E. (2003). Legal pluralism and the politics of recognition. *Cultural Anthropology*, 18(2), 328-351. <https://doi.org/10.1525/can.2003.18.2.328>
- Merry, S. E. (2006). *Human rights and gender violence: Translating international law into local justice*. University of Chicago Press.
- Merry, S. E., & Milner, N. (2006). *The practice of human rights: Tracking law between the global and the local*. Cambridge University Press.
- Puri, M., & Cleland, J. (2007). Assessing the factors influencing victim blaming in GBV cases. *Social Justice Research*, 14(2), 135-150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-007-0045-0>
- Rouland, N. (2007). *Legal anthropology*. Stanford University Press.